

*T.F. Zhuraev, A.Kh. Rakhmatullaev, Z.O. Tursunova*<sup>1</sup>

## SOME VALUES SUBFUNCTIONS OF FUNCTOR PROBABILITIES MEASURES IN THE CATEGORIES *COMP*

This article is dedicated to the preservation by subfunctors of the functor  $P$  of spaces of probability measures countable dimension and extensor properties of spaces of probability measures subspaces.

**Key words:** probability measures, dimension, the  $Z$ -set, homotopy dense, strong discrete approximation properties.

**Citation.** Zhuraev T.F., Rakhmatullaev A.Kh., Tursunova Z.O. Some values subfunctors of functor probabilities measures in the categories *Comp*. *Vestnik Samarskogo universiteta. Estestvennonauchnaia seriia* [Vestnik of Samara University. Natural Science Series], 2018, no. 24, no. 2, pp. 28–32. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.18287/2541-7525-2018-24-2-28-32> [in Russian].

**MSC:** 54B15, 54B30, 54B35, 54C05, 54C15, 54C60, 54D30.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $X$  be a topological space. By  $C(X)$  is denoted the ring of all continuous real valued functions on the space  $X$  with the compact-open topology. The diagonal product of all mappings at  $C(X)$  is defined by the embedding of  $X$  into  $R^{C(X)}$ .

If  $X$  is compact, then closed span of its images is a convex compact space which is denoted by  $P(X)$  [6]. On the other hand the probability measure functor  $P$  is covariant functor acting in the category of compact spaces and their continuous maps.  $P(X)$  is a convex subspace of a linear space  $M(X)$  conjugate to the space  $C(X)$  of continuous functions on  $X$  with the weak topology, consisting of all non-negative functional  $\mu$  (*i.e.*  $\mu(\varphi) \geq 0$ ) for every non-negative  $\varphi \in C(X)$  with unit norm [2,7]. For a continuous map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  the mapping

$$P(f) : P(X) \rightarrow P(Y)$$

is defined as follows  $(P(f)(\mu))\varphi = \mu(\varphi \circ f)$ .

The space  $P(X)$  is naturally embedded in  $R^{C(X)}$ . The base of neighborhoods of a measure  $\mu \in P(X)$  consists of all sets of the form  $O(\mu_1, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_k, \varepsilon) = \{\mu' \in P(X) : |\mu(\varphi_i) - \mu'(\varphi_i)| \leq \varepsilon, i = \overline{1, k}, \}$  where  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_k \in C(X)$  are arbitrary functions.

### 2. About a topology on a subspace of the space of probability measures

Let  $F$  be a subfunctor of  $P$  with a finite support. Then the base of neighborhoods of a measure  $\mu_0 = m_1^0 \cdot \delta(x_1) + \dots + m_s^0 \cdot \delta(x_s) \in \overline{f(X)}$  consists of sets of the form  $O < \mu_0, U_1, \dots, U_S > = \{\mu \in F(X) : \mu = \sum_{i=1}^{s+1} \mu_i\}$ , where  $\mu_i \in M^+(X)$  is the set of all non-negative functional and  $\|\mu_{i+1}\| < \varepsilon$ ,  $\text{supp} \mu_i \subset U_i, \|\mu\| - m_i^0 < \varepsilon$  for  $i = 1, \dots, S$ , where  $U_1, \dots, U_S$  – are neighborhoods of points  $x_1, \dots, x_S$  with disjoint closures.

<sup>1</sup>© Zhuraev T.F., Rakhmatullaev A.Kh., Tursunova Z.O., 2018

Zhuraev Tursunboy Faizievich ([tursunzhuraev@mail.ru](mailto:tursunzhuraev@mail.ru)), Department of General Mathematics, Tashkent State Pedagogical University named after Nizami, 27, Bunyodkor Street, Tashkent, 100070, Republik of Uzbekistan.

Rakhmatullaev Alimby Khasanovich ([olimboy56@gmail.com](mailto:olimboy56@gmail.com)), Department of Higher Mathematics, Tashkent Institute of Irrigation and Agricultural Mechanization Engineers, 39, Kari Niyazov Street, Tashkent, 100000, Republic of Uzbekistan.

Tursunova Zulayho Omonullaevna ([zulayhotursunova@mail.ru](mailto:zulayhotursunova@mail.ru)), Department of General Mathematics, Tashkent State Pedagogical University named after Nizami, 27, Bunyodkor Street, Tashkent, 100070, Republik of Uzbekistan.

In fact, first we show that the set  $0 < \mu_0, U_1, \dots, U_S, \varepsilon >$  contains a neighborhood of the measures  $\mu_0$  in the weak topology. For each  $i = 1, \dots, S$  we take the function  $\varphi_i : X \rightarrow I$ , satisfying the conditions:  $\varphi_i([U_i]) = 1, \varphi_i(\bigcup_{j \neq i} [U_j]) = 0$ . Furthermore, we take the function  $\varphi_{s+1} : X \rightarrow I$  so that  $\varphi_{s+1}(X \setminus U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_S) = 1$ , and  $\varphi_{s+1}(\{x_1, \dots, x_s\}) = 0$ . Now let us check the inclusion

$$O(\mu, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_s, \varphi_{s+1}, \varepsilon/2) \subset O(\mu_0, U_1, \dots, U_S, \varepsilon). \quad (2.1)$$

We present a measure  $\mu \in O(\mu_0, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_s, \varphi_{s+1}, \varepsilon/2)$  in the form  $\mu = \mu_1 + \dots + \mu_s + \mu_{s+1}$ , where  $\text{supp} \mu_i \subset U_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, S, \text{supp} \mu_i \subset X \setminus (U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_S)$ . Then  $\frac{\varepsilon}{2} > |\mu(\varphi_{s+1}) - \mu_0(\varphi_{s+1})| = |\mu(\varphi_{s+1})|$ . But  $\mu_{s+1} \leq \mu$ , so  $\mu_{s+1}(\varphi_{s+1}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  at the same time, by definition of the function  $\varphi_{s+1}$  we have  $\mu_{s+1}(\varphi_{s+1}) = \mu_{s+1}(1_x) = \|\mu_{s+1}\|$ . So,  $\|\mu_{s+1}\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \varepsilon$ . To prove the inclusion (1) it remains to show that  $\|\mu\| - m_i^0 < \varepsilon$ . We have  $\frac{\varepsilon}{2} > |\mu_0(\varphi_i) - \mu(\varphi_i)| \geq |\mu_0(\varphi_i)| - |\mu(\varphi_i)| = m_i^0 - |(\mu_1 + \dots + \mu_s + \mu_{s+1})(\varphi_i)| = \varphi_i /$  by definition of the function  $/ = m_i^0 - (\mu_1 + \dots + \mu_s + \mu_{s+1})(\varphi_i) = m_i^0 - \mu_i(\varphi) - \mu_{s+1}(\varphi_i) = m_i^0 - \|\mu_i\| - \mu_{s+1}(\varphi_i)$ . Consequently,  $m_i^0 - \|\mu_i\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \mu_{s+1}(\varphi_i) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \mu_{s+1}(1_x) = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \|\mu_{s+1}\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon$ .

On the other hand,  $\frac{\varepsilon}{2} > \mu_i(\varphi_i) + \mu_{s+1}(\varphi_i) - m_i^0 = \|\mu_i\| - m_i^0 + \mu_{s+1}(\varphi_i)$  thus  $\|\mu\| - m_i^0 < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . The Inequality  $\|\mu\| - m_i^0 < \varepsilon$  and the inclusion (1) are proved.

We now show that in every neighborhood of the base  $O(\mu_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_k, \varepsilon)$  there is a neighborhood of the form  $O(\mu_0, U_1, \dots, U_S, \delta >$ . It is enough to consider the neighborhood of the form  $O(\mu_0, \varphi, \varepsilon)$ , since the family of neighborhoods of the measure  $\mu_0$  in the form  $O(\mu_0, U_1, \dots, U_S, \delta >$  is directed down by inclusion / intersection of a finite number of neighborhoods of this type contains a neighborhood of the same form /. This follows from the validity of the inclusion

$$O(\mu_0, U_1^1 \cap U_1^2 \cap \dots \cap U_s^1 \cap U_s^2, \frac{1}{2} \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\} > \subset O(\mu_0, U_1^1, \dots, U_s^1, \delta_1) \cap O(\mu_0, U_1^2, \dots, U_s^2, \delta_2) > \quad (2.2)$$

The main part of checking is the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(U_i^j) &= \mu(U_i^1 \cap U_i^2) + \mu(U_i^j \setminus U_i^1 \cap U_i^2) \leq \mu(U_i^1 \cap U_i^2) + \mu(X \setminus \bigcup_{e=1}^s (U_e^1 \cap U_e^2)) < \\ &< \mu(U_i^1 \cap U_i^2) + \frac{1}{2} \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\} \leq \mu(U_i^1 \cap U_i^2) + \frac{1}{2} \delta_j. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for the measure  $\mu$  from the left side of proved inclusion (3.1) we have

$$\mu_0(U_i^j) - \mu(U_i^j) \leq \mu_0(U_i^j) - \mu(U_i^1 \cap U_i^2) = m_i^0 - \mu(U_i^1 \cap U_i^2) \leq \frac{1}{2} \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\} < \delta_j$$

on the other hand

$$\mu(U_i^j) - \mu_0(U_i^j) < \mu(U_i^1 \cap U_i^2) + \frac{1}{2} \delta_j - m_i^0 < \frac{1}{2} \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\} + \frac{1}{2} \delta_j \leq \delta_j.$$

It remains to find a neighborhood of the form  $O(\mu_0, U_1, \dots, U_S, \delta >$  in the neighborhood  $O(\mu_0, \varphi, \varepsilon)$ . Since  $O(\mu_0, \lambda\varphi, \lambda\varepsilon) = O(\mu_0, \varphi, \varepsilon)$ , for  $\lambda > 0$ , we can assume that  $\|\varphi\| \leq 1$ . Moreover, one can also assume that  $\varphi \geq 0$ . For  $\delta > 0$  we take disjoint neighborhoods  $U_i$  of the points  $x_i$  so that oscillations of the function  $\varphi$  on  $U_i$  was less than  $\delta$ .

Then  $|\mu_0(\varphi) - \mu(\varphi)| \leq |m_1^0 \varphi(x_1) - \int_{u_1} \varphi d\mu| + \dots + |m_s^0 \varphi(x_s) - \int_{u_s} \varphi d\mu| + |\int_{X \setminus U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_s} \varphi d\mu|$ . Further  $|m_i^0 \varphi(x_i) - \int_{u_i} \varphi d\mu| = |m_i^0 \varphi(x_i) - \int_{u_i} \varphi(x_i) d\mu + \int_{u_i} \varphi(x_i) d\mu - \int_{u_i} \varphi d\mu| \leq m_i^0 \varphi(x_i) - \int_{u_i} \varphi(x_i) d\mu + |\int_{u_i} [\varphi(x_i) - \varphi] d\mu| \leq \varphi(x_i) |m_i^0 - \|\mu_i\|| + \int_{u_i} |\varphi(x_i) - \varphi| d\mu \leq \varphi(x_i) \delta + \delta \|\mu_i\| \leq 2\delta$ . Therefore, for  $\delta < \frac{\varepsilon}{(2S+1)}$  the inclusion  $O(\mu_0, U_1, \dots, U_S, \delta > \subset O(\mu_0, \varphi, \varepsilon)$  holds.

### 3. Basic notions and conventions

It is known that for an infinite compact space  $X$ , the space  $P(X)$  is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube  $Q$  [5], where  $Q = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} [-1, 1]$ ,  $[-1, 1]$  is the segment in the real line  $R$ . For a natural number  $n \in N$  by  $P_n(X)$  we denote the set of all probability measures with support consisting of at most  $n$  points, i.e.  $P_n(X) = \{\mu \in P(X) : |\text{supp} \mu| \leq n\}$ . The compact  $P_n(X)$  is convex combinations of

Dirac measures of the form:  $\mu = m_1 \delta_{x_1} + m_2 \delta_{x_2} + \dots + m_n \delta_{x_n}$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n m_i = 1, m_i \geq 0, x_i \in X, \delta_{x_i}$  - is the Dirac

measure at the point  $x_i$ . By  $\delta(X)$  we denote the set of all Dirac measures and  $P_\omega(X) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n(X)$ . Recall that the space  $P_f(X) \subset P(X)$  consists of all probability measures in the form  $\mu = m_1 \delta_{x_1} + m_2 \delta_{x_2} + \dots + m_k \delta_{x_k}$  of finite supports, for each of which  $m_i \geq \frac{k}{k+1}$  for some  $i$  [2,7]. For a natural  $n$  put  $P_{f,n} \equiv P_f \cap P_n$  for the compact  $X$ . For compact  $X$   $P_{f,n}(X) = \{\mu \rightarrow P_f(X) : |\text{supp} \mu| \leq n\}$  and hold. For the compact  $X$  by  $P^c(X)$  we denote the set of all measures  $\mu \in P(X)$ , support of each of which is contained to one of the components of the compact  $X$  [7].

We say that a functor  $F_1$  is a subfunctor (respectively ontofunctor) of a functor  $F_2$ , if there is a natural transformation  $h : F_1 \rightarrow F_2$  such that for every object  $X$  the mapping  $h(X) : F_1(X) \rightarrow F_2(X)$  is a monomorphism (epimorphism). By  $\exp$  we denote the well known hyperspace functor of closed subsets. For example, the identity functor  $Id$  is a subfunctor of the functor  $\exp_n$ , where  $\exp_n X = \{F \in \exp X : |F| \leq n\}$  and  $n-$  of  $n$ -degree is a ontofunctor of  $\exp_n$  and  $SP_G^n$ . A normal subfunctor  $F$  of the functor  $P_n$  is uniquely determined by its value  $F(\tilde{n})$  on  $\tilde{n}$  where  $\{\tilde{n}\}$  denotes  $n$ -point set  $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ . Note that  $P_n(n)$  is the  $(n-1)$ -dimensional simplex  $\sigma^{n-1}$ . Any subset of  $(n-1)$ -dimensional simplex  $\sigma^{n-1}$  defines a normal subfunctor of the functor  $P_n$ , if it is invariant with respect to simplicial mappings to itself.

**Definition [7].** A normal subfunctor  $F$  of the functor  $P_n$  is locally convex if the set  $F(\tilde{n})$  is locally convex.

An example which is not a normal subfunctor of the functor  $P_n$  is the functor  $P_n^c$  of probability measures, whose supports contains in one of components of a space. One of the examples of locally convex subfunctors of the functor  $P_n$  is a functor  $SP^n \equiv SP_{S_n}^n$ , where  $S_n$  is a group of homeomorphisms (permutation group) of  $n$ -point set.

**Definition [1,8].** We say that a space  $X$  is countable dimension (shortly  $X \in c \cdot d$ ), if  $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$ , where  $\dim X_n < \infty$  for each  $n$ . In particular,  $X$  is a countable union of zero-dimensional spaces, i.e.  $\dim X_i = 0$  for every  $X_i$ .

**Theorem 1.** If  $X \in c \cdot d$ , then  $P_{f,n}(X) \in c \cdot d$  for each  $n \in N$ .

**Proof.** Let  $X \in c \cdot d$ . Then  $X$  is a countable union of finite-dimensional spaces  $\dim X_i < \infty$  in the sense of dim. In this case,  $P_{f,n}(X)$  is a countable union of  $P_{f,n}(X_i)$ , i.e.  $P_{f,n}(X) = P_{f,n}(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} P_{f,n}(X_i)$ . By [9] for each  $i \in N$  the compact  $P_{f,n}(X_i)$  is finite-dimensional in the sense of dim, i.e.  $\dim P_{f,n}(X_i) < \infty$ , more accurately,  $\dim P_{f,n}(X_i) \leq n \dim X_i + \dim P_{f,n}(\tilde{n}) = n \dim X_i + n - 1$ . In this case  $\dim P_{f,n}(\tilde{n}) = n - 1$ , since  $P_{f,n}(\tilde{n})$  is a part of the  $(n-1)$ -dimensional simplex  $\delta^{n-1}$  spanned by the points  $\{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ , i.e. for each  $i \in N$  the space  $P_{f,n}(X_i)$  is finite-dimensional. Hence,  $P_{f,n}(X)$  is a countable union of finite-dimensional spaces. So  $P_{f,n}(X) \in c \cdot d$ . If  $X$  is a countable union of zero-dimensional spaces  $\dim X_i = 0$ , then  $\dim P_{f,n}(X_i) = n - 1$  for each  $i \in N$ . In this case,  $P_{f,n}(X)$  is also a countable union of finite-dimensional spaces, i.e.  $P_{f,n}(X) \in c \cdot d$ . Theorem is proved.

From the equation  $P_f(X) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{f,n}(X)$ , in the particular case we have.

**Corollary 1.** If the compact  $X$  is a  $c \cdot d$  space, then  $P_f(X) \in c \cdot d$ .

Let  $X$  be a finite-dimensional compact. Then the space  $P_{f,n}(X)$  is also finite-dimensional. More accurately,  $\dim P_{f,n}(X) \leq n \dim X + n - 1 = n(\dim X + 1) - 1$ . On the other hand, there is an open and closed mapping decreasing dimension of spaces. Fibers of the mappings  $r_{f,n}^X$  are similar cell, i.e. fibers are contractible to a point.

**Theorem 2.** Suppose  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  is a continuous surjective open mapping between the infinite compacts  $X$  and  $Y$ . Then the mapping  $P_{f,n}(\varphi) : P_{f,n}(X) \rightarrow P_{f,n}(Y)$  is also open.

**Proof.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be infinite compacts and let the mapping  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  be surjective and open. Then by the normality of the functor  $P_{f,n}(\varphi)$  the mapping  $P_{f,n}(\varphi)$  is surjective. In this case, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_{f,n}(X) & \xrightarrow{P_{f,n}(\varphi)} & P_{f,n}(Y) \\ \downarrow r_{f,n}^X & & \downarrow r_{f,n}^Y \\ \delta(X) & \longrightarrow & [\delta(\varphi)] \delta(Y) \end{array} \quad (3.1)$$

where  $\delta(X)$  and  $\delta(Y)$  are Dirac measures on compacts  $X$  and  $Y$ . Let  $\mu(x) = m_1 \delta_{x_1^0} + m_2 \delta_{x_2} + \dots + m_k \delta_{x_k}$ ,  $r_{f,n}^x(\mu_0(X)) = \delta_{x_1^0}$ ,  $P_{f,n}(\varphi)(\mu_0(x)) = m_1 \delta_{y_1^0} + m_2 \delta_{y_2} + \dots + m_k \delta_{y_k}$ .

From the fact that the mapping  $r_{f,n}^x$ ,  $\delta(\varphi)$  is open and the diagram (3) is commutative, it follows that the mapping  $P_{f,n}(\varphi)$  is open. Commutativity of diagram (3) follows from Lemma 2 of Uspensky's work [3]. Theorem 2 is proved.

Similarly as theorem 2, one can proof the following.

**Theorem 3.** For infinite compacts  $X$  and  $Y$  a surjective map is open if and only if the map  $P_f(\varphi) : P_f(X) \rightarrow P_f(Y)$  is open.

**Corollary 2.** If  $X \in c \cdot d$ , then  $P_n(X) \in c \cdot d$ ,  $P_\omega(X) \in c \cdot d$  and  $P_\omega(X) \in A(N)R$ .

Let  $X$  be a topological space and let  $A \subset X$ . A set  $\mathcal{A}$  is called homotopy dense in  $X$ , if there is a homotopy  $h : X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  such that  $h(x, 0) = id_x$  and  $h : (X \times (0, 1]) \subset A$ . A set  $\mathcal{A}$  is called homotopy void if complement of  $\mathcal{A}$  is homotopy dense in  $X$ . The set  $A \subset X$  is called the  $Z$ -set in  $X$  [4], if  $A$  is closed and for each cover  $U \in cov(X)$  there is a map  $f : X \rightarrow X$  such that  $(f, id_x) \prec U$  and  $f(X) \cap A = \emptyset$ .

**Theorem 4.** For any infinite compact  $X$  and for each  $n \in N$  the compact  $P_n(X)$  is the  $Z$ -set in  $P_\omega(X)$ .

**Proof.** By infinity of metric compact  $X$  the space  $P_\omega(X)$  is convex and a locally convex metric space. So,  $P_\omega(X) \in A(N)R$ . On the other hand, the space is compact. It is obvious that  $P_n(X)$  is a subspace

of  $P_\omega(X)$ , since the compact  $P_{f,n}(X)$  is a subset of the compact  $P_n(X)$ . We fix a measure  $\mu_0 = \frac{1}{k}\delta_{x_1} + \frac{1}{k}\delta_{x_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{k}\delta_{x_k}$ .

Let  $[0,1]$  is the unit interval. We construct a homotopy  $h(\mu, t) : P_\omega(X) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow P_\omega(X)$  getting  $h(\mu, t) = (1-t)\mu + t\mu_0$ .

Obviously,  $h(\mu, 0) = \mu$  i.e.  $h(\mu, 0) = id_{P_\omega(X)}$  and  $h(P_\omega(X) \times (0, 1]) \subset P_\omega(X) \setminus P_n(X)$ . This means that  $n \in N$  for any subspace  $P_\omega(X) \setminus P_n(X)$  homotopically dense in  $P_\omega(X)$ . Then the set  $P_n(X)$  is homotopically small in  $P_\omega(X)$ . Hence, by one of the results in [4], the subspace  $P_\omega(X) \setminus P_n(X) \in ANR$  and  $P_\omega(X) \setminus P_n(X)$  are  $ANR$ -spaces. In this case, from theorem 1.4.4. [4] it follows that  $P_\omega(X)$  is the  $Z$ -set in  $P_\omega(X)$ . Theorem 4 is proved.

**Lemma 1.** For any infinite compact  $X$  each compact subset  $A$  of  $P_\omega(X)$  is a  $Z$ -set, i.e.  $P_\omega(X)$  has the compact  $Z$ -property.

**Proof.** Let  $X$  be an infinite compact,  $A$  is compact subset, i.e.  $A \subset P_\omega(X)$ . Consider the set  $A \cap P_n(X) = A_n$ . It's obvious that  $P_1(X) \subset P_2(X) \subset \dots \subset P_n(X) \subset \dots$ . By theorem 4, the set is a  $Z$ -set in  $P_\omega(X)$  for each  $n \in N$ . Then  $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$  is  $\sigma$ - $Z$ -set and is closed in  $P_\omega(X)$ . Then by one of the results in [4]  $A$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $P_\omega(X)$ . Lemma 1 is proved.

From Theorem 4 and Lemma 1, in particular, the cases arise.

**Corollary 2.** For any infinite compact  $X$  the followings hold:

- The compact  $P_{f,n}(X)$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $P_\omega(X)$  for all  $n \in N$ .
- The compact  $P_f(X)$  is also  $Z$ -set in  $P_\omega(X)$ .

**Corollary 3.** For an arbitrary infinite compact  $X$  we have:

- For each  $n \in N$  the subspace  $P_\omega(X) \setminus P_{f,n}(X)$  is an  $ANR$  space  $\mu$  homotopically dense in  $P_\omega(X)$ .
- The subspace  $P_\omega(X) \setminus P_{f,n}(X)$  is  $ANR$  and homotopically dense in  $P_\omega(X)$ .

We say that  $X$  has strongly discrete approximation property (shortly,  $SDAP$ ) if for every map  $f : Q \times N \rightarrow X$  and for every cover  $U \in cov(X)$  there exists a mapping  $\bar{f} : Q \times N \rightarrow X$  such that  $(\bar{f}, f) \prec U$  and the family  $\{\bar{f}(Q \times \{n\})\}$  is discrete in  $X$ .

Let  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}\}$  be an  $(n+1)$ -point subset of the compact  $X$ . Fix the measure  $\mu_0 = \frac{1}{n+1}\delta_{x_1} + \frac{1}{n+1}\delta_{x_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n+1}\delta_{x_{n+1}}$ . It is clear that  $\mu_0 \in P_n(X)$  and  $\mu_0 \in P_\omega(X)$ . We construct a homotopy  $h(\mu, t) : P_\omega(X) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow P_\omega(X)$  getting  $h(\mu, t) = (1-t)\mu + t\mu_0$ . It is known that  $h(\mu, 0) = id_{P_\omega(X)}$  and  $h(\mu, (0, 1]) \cap P_n(X) = \emptyset$ . By the structure of the space  $P_\omega(X)$  and by the definition of the homotopy this satisfies the condition of problem 10.1.4 of work [4], i.e. the set  $P_n(X)$  is a strongly  $Z$ -set in.

Therefore,  $P_\omega(X)$  is a strongly set and  $P_\omega(X) \in ANR$ , i.e. the following is true.

**Theorem 5.** For any infinite compact  $X$  the space  $P_\omega(X)$  has strongly discrete approximation property, i.e.  $P_\omega(X) \in SDAP$ .

## References

- Addis D.F., Gresham I.H. A class of infinite - dimensional spaces: Part I: Dimension theory and Alexandroff's problem. *Fund. Math.*, 101 (1978), no. 3, pp.195-205. DOI: 10.4064/fm-101-3-195-205 [in English].
- Zhuraev T.F. Some geometrical properties of the functor  $P$  of probability measures: Candidate's thesis. M.: MGU, 1989, 90 p. [in Russian].
- Uspensky V.V. Topological groups and Dugundji's compacts. *Mat. sb.*, 1989, Volume 180, Number 8, pp. 1092-1118. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1070/SM1990v067n02ABEH002098> [in English].
- Banakh T, Radul T., Zarichnyi M. Absorbing sets in infinite - dimensional manifolds. Lviv: VNTL Publishers, 1996. URL: [https://books.google.ru/books/about/Absorbing\\_sets\\_in\\_infinite\\_dimensional\\_m.html?id=NkrvAAAAMAAJ&redir\\_esc=y](https://books.google.ru/books/about/Absorbing_sets_in_infinite_dimensional_m.html?id=NkrvAAAAMAAJ&redir_esc=y) [in English].
- Fedorchuk V.V., Filippov V.V. General topology. Basic constructions. Moscow: Moscow University Press, 1988, p. 252 [in Russian].
- Schepin E.V. Functors and uncountable powers of compacta. *Russian Math. Surveys*, 36:3 (1981), 3-62. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1070/RM1981v036n03ABEH004247> [in English].
- Fedorchuk V.V. Probability measures in topology. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, 1991, Volume 46, Issue 1(277), Pages 41-80. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1070/RM1991v046n01ABEH002722> [in English].
- Borst P. Some remarks concerning  $C$ -spaces. *Topology and its Applications*, 2007, 154, pp. 665-674 [in English].
- Basmanov V.N. Covariant functors, retracts, and the dimension. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 1983, 271, pp. 1033-1036 [in English].

*Т.Ф. Жураев, А.Х. Рахматуллаев, З.О. Турсунова<sup>2</sup>*

## СВОЙСТВА ПОДФУНКТОРОВ ФУНКТОРА ВЕРОЯТНОСТНЫХ МЕР В КАТЕГОРИЯХ *COMP*

Данная заметка посвящена сохранению подфункторами функтора  $P$  вероятностных мер пространств счетной размерности и экстензорным свойствам подпространств пространства вероятностных мер.

**Ключевые слова:** вероятностные меры, размерность,  $Z$ -множество, гомотопически плотно, сильное дискретное аппроксимационное свойство.

**Цитирование.** Zhuraev T.F., Rakhmatullaev A.Kh., Tursunova Z.O. Some values subfunctors of functor probabilities measures in the categories Comp // Вестник Самарского университета. Естественная серия. 2018. Т. 24. № 2. С. 28–32. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.18287/2541-7525-2018-24-2-28-32>.

**MSC:** 54B15, 54B30, 54B35, 54C05, 54C15, 54C60, 54D30.

Статья поступила в редакцию 23/V/2018.

The article received 23/V/2018.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

<sup>2</sup> Жураев Турсунбой Файзиевич ([tursunzhuraev@mail.ru](mailto:tursunzhuraev@mail.ru)), кафедра общей математики, Ташкентский государственный педагогический университет имени Низами, 100070, Республика Узбекистан, г. Ташкент, ул. Бунедкор, 27.

Рахматуллаев Алимбай Хасанович ([olimboy56@gmail.com](mailto:olimboy56@gmail.com)), кафедра высшей математики, Ташкентский институт инженеров ирригации и механизации сельского хозяйства, 100000, Республика Узбекистан, г. Ташкент, ул. Кары-Ниязи, 39.

Турсунова Зулайхо Омонуллаевна ([zulayhotursunova@mail.ru](mailto:zulayhotursunova@mail.ru)), кафедра общей математики, Ташкентский государственный педагогический университет имени Низами, 100070, Республика Узбекистан, г. Ташкент, ул. Бунедкор, 27.